Annual (April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2014-2015 of RSCs i.e. Institutes

Name of the Division:	Crop Science
Name of the Institution:	ICAR – Indian Institute of Rice Research
RFD Nodal Officer of the RSC:	Dr. Ch. Padmavathi

	Objective (s)			Success Indicator(s)				Target / Criteria V			Value			Performance		Reasons for shortfalls or											
S. No ·		W ei gh t	Action(s)		Unit	W ei gh t	Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	Achi evem ents	Raw Score	Weight ed Score	ments against Target values of 90% Col.	excessive achievements, if applicable											
	Identification & validation of technologies	on 36	Development of improved varieties suited to diverse agro ecologies	Varieties/ hybrids identified for release	No.	15	19	16	13	10	7	19	100	15	118.8	Aggressive efforts of IIRR scientists & AICRIP co-operators resulted in release of more number of varieties/hybrids											
1	for different ecologies		Organizing multi-	Entries evaluated	No.	14	1260	1050	840	630	420	1169	95.67	13.39	111.3	Efforts of AICRIP co- operating centers led to more nominations											
	under AICRIP														disciplinary multi- location trials	Management practices identified	No.	7	11	9	7	5	3	9	90	6.30	100.0
	Genetic	ld, nd 27				Evaluation of genetic material for crop improvement	Breeding/ germplasm lines &experimental hybrids evaluated	No.	13	700	580	460	340	220	590	90.08	11.71	101.7	NA								
	enhancement for yield,							25							programme	Lines identified for unique traits	No.	10	10	9	8	7	6	9	90	9	100.0
2			Seed production	Breeders seed produced	MT	3	720	600	480	360	240	650	94.17	2.83	108.3	NA											
1			programme to ensure quality seed availability	Truthfully labelled seed produced	MT	1	75	60	45	30	15	70	96.67	0.97	116.7	Collaborative efforts of IIRR with Blight out project supported by CSIR-CCMB to popularise improved											

			Development of new technologies	Production/protect ion technologies tested	No	11	37	31	25	19	13	33	93.33	10.27	106.5	samba Mahsuri among 4 states viz., AP, Telangana, Tamilnadu & Karnataka resulted in more TFL seed production in collaboration with farmers Efforts from production & protection scientists resulted in testing new technologies
3	Development and dissemination of appropriate crop production & protection technologies for maximizing yield	17	Dissemination of technologies	Trainings organized	No.	5	8	6	4	2	0	8	100	5	133.3	Approval & release of funds under Consortia Research Platform (CRP) on Biofortification encouraged us to conduct training programs to research partners during January 2015
				Demonstrations of technologies conducted	No.	1	660	550	440	330	220	454	81.27	0.81	82.5	Co-operators could not conduct FLDs due to late monsoon, unfavourable weather conditions and unavailability of seed material
*	Publication/D ocumentation	5	Publication of the research articles in the journals having the NAAS rating of 6.0 and above	Research articles published	No.	3	22	18	14	10	6	18	90	2.7	100.0	NA
			Timely publication of the Institute Annual Report (2013-2014)	Annual Report published	Date	2	30.06. 2014	02.07. 2014	04.07. 2014	07.0 7.20 14	09.07. 2014	30.06. 2014	100	2		NA
*	Fiscal resource management	2	Utilization of released plan fund	Plan fund utilized	%	2	98	96	94	92	90	99.4	100	2		NA

*	Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	3	Timely submission of Draft RFD for 2014 – 2015 for Approval	On-time submission	Date	2	May 15, 2014	May 16, 2014	May 19, 2014	May 20, 2014	May 21, 2014	April 29, 2014	100	2	NA
			Timely submission of Results for 2013-2014	On-time submission	Date	1	May 1, 2014	May 2, 2014	May 5, 2014	May 6, 2014	May 7, 2014	April 29, 2014	100	1	NA
	Enhanced Transparency / Improved	3	RatingfromIndependentAuditofimplementationofCitizen's/ClientsCharter (CCC)	DegreeofimplementationofcommitmentsinCCC	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	NA
*	Service delivery of Ministry/ Dept.		Independent Audit of implementation of Grievance Redress Management (GRM) system	Degree of success in implementing GRM	%	1	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	1	NA
			Update organizational strategy to align with revised priorities	Date	Date	2	Nov.1 , 2014	Nov.2 ,2014	Nov.3 ,2014	Nov. 4, 2014	Nov.5 ,2014	Jan 12, 2015	0	0	NA
*	* Administrativ e Reforms	7	Implementation of agreed milestones of approved Mitigating Strategies for Reduction of Potential risk of corruption (MSC)	% of implementation	%	1	100	90	80	70	60	90	90	0.9	NA
			Implementation of agreed milestones for ISO 9001	% of implementation	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	0	0	0	Consultant has been reappointed
			ImplementationofmilestonesofapprovedInnovationAction Plans (IAPs)	% of implementation	%	2	100	90	80	70	60	85	85	1.7	NA

Total Composite Score: Rating: 90.58 Very Good

Procedure for computing the Weighted and Composite Score

- 1. Weighted Score of a Success Indicator = Weight of the corresponding Success Indicator x Raw Score / 100
- 2. Total Composite Score = Sum of Weighted Scores of all the Success Indicators